3:Leeds E-5 (1958) 1962 SS19 Yaruro SS19

Gathered resources are found near the streams in marshy places where the moriche palm grows, and to a lesser extent in the savannas.[17] The dry season resources are also distributively located though the pattern is somewhat different. Quanta of production from these resources at any one time are rarely great. The larder, as it were, must be filled from a number of sources at once, even though one crop, particularly bitter manioc, and occasionally sweet manioc and corn, may rise briefly to as much as 50 or 60% of the food supply. Thus the available quanta of resources and their distribution at given moments in time are such as to demand a distributive form of labor organization: workers, as individuals or in very small groups, must go off in different directions at the same time. The obverse of this, of course, is that no sizable or permanent working groups are to be expected and none in fact occur. Further, because a dispersion of the labor force and a constant flux in the constitution of work groups is entailed by the relationships between the technology and the habitat, organized and permanent managerial functions can scarcely occur, and no fixed status with significant managerial powers would be expected. The observed data of leadership among the Yaruro and many other tribes in South America and other parts of the world, such as Melanesia, having fundamentally similar ecologies, corresponds precisely with what one is led to expect logically from the form of the ecology.

The absolute size of work groups achievable in the Yaruro habitat is limited not only by the dispersed locations and temporal harvest patterns of resources, but also by the absolute productivity of the ecology. If one calculates the distribution per land area of those calories potentially convertible to human energy, the rate of calories per unit of land surface is so low that even at maximum utilization only a very low population density of about 2 persons per square mile would be possible. If concentrated in the center of an effective walking distance for exploiting resources of, say, six miles radius from a village, with a resultant total area of about 110 square miles, there would be about 220 souls. Of these, perhaps 70% (all persons over age 10), at maximum, might constitute the labor force, i. e. 154 adults and older children. The actual conditions are, of course, much more limiting, since the efficiency of the technology is such that it cannot exploit the totality of calories potentially available for conversion to human energy at any one time.[19] The actually harvested calories are considerably less, though I have no estimates as to how much less. Let us estimate half, or enough to support one person per square mile, that is, 110 persons. With a somewhat smaller per cent of the population available for the labor force, say 60% of the 110, the force would have only about 67 persons at most. This appears to be a reasonable number for the Cinaruco Yaruro groups, although due to depopulation from disease and mas-

[17] *Ibid.*, Map I.
[18] *Ibid.*, Map II.
[19] For example, all the fish available in the streams at a given time cannot be caught. More could be caught with an improved technology, such as the use of fish traps, weirs, or fish poisons, which, as we have said, are absent from the technology of the Cinaruco Yaruro. Density of fish, or any other biotic population, can be estimated (cf. Dice, 1952, Ch. IV), and then compared with rates of harvesting. Furthermore, it should be noted that often the totality of an actually harvested resource may not be available. For example, in

sacre, the labor force actually observed in "my" group was a little more than 25% of that number, or 18, and neighboring groups were generally still smaller. These 154, 67, and especially the actual 18 workers, even if, at any given moment, *all* were working at subsistence activities, would be distributed over various parts of the 110 square miles of territory under the kind of dispersive habitat and technological conditions described. They are in fact so thinly scattered as to preclude any sort of continuing managerial functions.

V

A consideration of the forms of the harvest surplus[39] is relevant to our discussion. Harvest surpluses must be considered with respect both to individual subsistence resources and to all subsistence resources taken together. In the annual cycle, there is considerable variation in the amount produced of any given crop, except for sweet and bitter manioc which together constitute perhaps 40% of the annual food supply of the Yaruro. Manioc produces throughout the year. Given that the manioc-growing areas are widely dispersed and that considerable labor is drawn from manioc areas by still more dispersed resources, it follows that most work units for manioc gardens will consist of only one or two men, each having their own swidden or sharing it with one or two others to supply themselves and their immediate families throughout the year. As might be expected, land tenure and land usage patterns are in accord with this inference. Occasionally small oscillations in the manioc crops may produce small harvest surpluses. These, at least nominally, belong to the man in whose swidden they grow and are his for disposal. The quantities, however, are exceedingly small, and present no problems of storage or disposal necessitating more centralized supervision and allocation. Most other resources display a similar pattern though to an even smaller degree. Fish are obtainable, for the Cinaruco Yaruro, all year round with almost equal ease, though the Palmariteños have a more difficult time because of the grassy and muddy waters in the rainy season. Hunting windfalls and large pigs are the only animal sources which present harvest surpluses of any size. However, these are small enough so that they last at most two or three days, and they are so irregular both as to occurrence and as to personnel involved that no regular centralized institutional mode of handling them is entailed. The virtual absence of meat storage

For example, in the Trobriand Islands, in a good year, all the yams harvested cannot be eaten at once, nor can they be stored. Some portion of the crop is then lost by spoilage. We may construct, in terms of calories or other significant food values, two ratios:

$$\frac{C_h}{C_p} \qquad \frac{C_c}{C_h}$$

C — Calories
c — consumed
p — potential
h — harvested (= available for use)

The first may be used as a measure of the efficiency of the productive capacity of the technology; the second as a measure of the efficiency of the distributive capacity of the technology.

[39] The technological, producers, and harvest surpluses are defined in Harris, 1958, and implied in Harris, 1959. They are dealt with in detail with respect to the Yaruro in Leeds, 1961. Other relevant discussions of surplus are found Sahlins, 1958; Jacobs and Stern, 1952, Ch VI.